

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				•	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	•
10/634,544	08/05/2003	Robert F. Burkholder	PTG 02-82-2	6044	
23531 75	90 09/16/2005		EXAM	INER	7
SUITER WES	ST SWANTZ PC LLO		BALSIS,	SHAY L	
14301 FNB PA	RKWAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	-
SUITE 220 OMAHA, NE 68154			1744		_
			DATE MAILED, 00/16/200	£	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	

<b>خ</b> .	
	Advisory Action
Before ti	he Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/634,544	BURKHOLDER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Shay L. Balsis	1744		

Potoro the Filing of an Annual Priof							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Shay L. Balsis	1744					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three monther earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered	because				
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in belo</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	• -	jected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		ompliant Amendment	. (PTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13,15,17-24 and 26-35</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	ut before or on the date of filing a N	valetice of Appeal will r	not be optered				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented.	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	nils to provide a (1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  3. Other:  MARK SPISICH							
10		an min					
	) 190	MARK SPISICH MARY EXAMINER					

GROUP 3400

Application No. 10/674544

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claims 1, 18 and 31 adding the limitation that the hanger is configured for storing the transmission assembly in a coiled orientation is a limitation that raises new issues and would require further search and/or consideration.